PROJECT SUMMARY

Why fly? Testing the efficiency of aerial firefighting operations



RESEARCH TEAM

A/Prof Owen Price, University of WollongongDr Matt Plucinski, CSIRODr Michael Storey, University of WollongongDr Michael Bedward, University of Wollongong

Project duration: 18 months

Background

Aerial firefighting is a high profile and cost component of bushfire management, however little is known about the conditions under which it is used and under which it is effective. While aerial suppression has been shown to be very effective at limiting fire growth in the early stages (initial attack), knowledge about its effectiveness on larger fires is lacking. Many Australian bushfire inquiries have recommended research to fill this gap.

The effectiveness of aerial suppression is a complex problem. Many types of aircraft can drop a variety of products in a range of tactics. Aerial suppression drops can have a variety of objectives, such as stopping the spread of initiating fires, protecting properties, quenching spot fires (small fires ahead of the main front) or slowing a large fire front. There can be many aircraft working on the same fire, sometimes in different parts of it and usually to support on-ground suppression efforts. SUPPORTING ORGANISATIONS

National Aerial Firefighting Centre (NAFC) National Council for Emergency Services (AFAC) NSW Rural Fire Service (RFS) Queensland Fire and Emergency Service (QFES) National Emergency Management Agency (NEMA) WA Department of Fire and Emergency Services (DFES) Emergency Management Victoria (EMV)

A wealth of data has been collected about fires and the conditions in which they occurred in. Recently data capturing the movement and actions of firefighting aircraft has become available. These data can be compiled to better understand the use and effectiveness of aircraft. For example, the figure shows two lines of retardant dropped immediately downwind of a fire soon after it was reported.



naturalhazards.com.au

Project description

Following a review of relevant research methodologies, this project will use data collected in recent years from several Australian states to:

- assess the availability and completeness of aircraft tracking data and make recommendations about improvements
- study the range of objectives for firefighting aircraft types
- complete aircraft use profiles that outline the roles and conditions that different aircraft types are currently used in across Australia
- prepare detailed case studies on aircraft use and effectiveness on selected fires, and
- compile a broader understanding of aerial suppression effectiveness based on objectives.

Intended outcomes

The analyses from this project will form a knowledge base for justification of resource use, future funding requests and improved operational decisions. These include decisions about which aircraft to dispatch and which mix of aircraft to invest in. With better information, there is potential to improve fire suppression outcomes, which will ultimately make communities safer, save lives and protect more community values. These improvements will also lead to significant economic savings and may also reduce aircrews' exposure to potential accidents.

The project outputs will also provide guidance for improvement of reporting and dispatching tools such as ARENA and Resource to Risk, and will enable improvements in training material.

Translation and implementation potential

The outputs will be published in a final project report and scientific papers, and will be presented at conferences and research forums. The results will be available to firefighters and researchers worldwide.

In addition, the findings will be suitable for incorporation into training material and decision support tools such as ARENA and the project reports will be a valuable resource for supporting future business cases for funding aerial suppression.

Further information

For full project details head to: <u>https://www.naturalhazards.com.au/research/research-projects/why-fly-how-do-we-know-aerial-firefighting-operations-are-effective-and</u>

OR contact rowena.morris@naturalhazards.com.au

© Natural Hazards Research Australia, 2024 Disclaimer:

Natural Hazards Research Australia advise that the information contained in this publication comprises general statements based on scientific research. The reader is advised and needs to be aware that such information may be incomplete or unable to be used in any specific situation. No reliance or actions must therefore be made on that information without seeking prior expert professional, scientific and technical advice. To the extent permitted by law, Natural Hazards Research Australia (including its employees and consultants) exclude all liability to any person for any consequences, including but not limited to all losses, damages, costs, expenses and any other compensation, arising directly or indirectly from using this publication (in part or in whole) and any information or material contained in it.

All material in this document, except as identified below, is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial 4.0 International Licence.

 $\mathbf{\Theta}\mathbf{S}$

- Material not licensed under the Creative Commons licence
- → Natural Hazards Research Australia logo
 → Any other logos
- → All photographs, graphics and figures

All content not licenced under the Creative Commons licence is all rights reserved. Permission must be sought from the copyright owner to use this material.